

## Water Supply Alternatives

### **Introduction to Water Supply Issues**

The Water Resource Advisory Committee (WRAC) is tasked with developing a Watershed Plan. The basis for much of this task is to determine the availability of the groundwater resources in Island County. Groundwater in some locations throughout the County has limited availability or restricted use.

A key outcome of the Watershed Planning effort is to identify alternatives to current groundwater use. The County's groundwater resources are experiencing increasing demand, and in some areas are expected to be inadequate for future demands. This paper will identify and make recommendations for prioritizing Island County's water supply alternatives.

### Island County Coordinated Water System Plan

The Island County Coordinated Water System Plan (CWSP) was approved in 1990. The CWSP assessed water demand forecasts, ability of large water suppliers to supply their customers, and water supply alternatives. Redistribution of groundwater was the highest recommended alternative.

This topic paper contains specific recommendations made in the CWSP and will build upon its water supply recommendations. The approval of the CWSP represented a major step forward in groundwater resource management in Island County, and its recommendations are still relevant and applicable today. It is hoped that this topic paper will reconfirm Board of County Commissioner support of the CWSP.

### Population Distribution

Island County has been subject to a significant increase in population since the 1950s. More than half of Island County's population resides on North Whidbey Island, primarily in and near the City of Oak Harbor and the Whidbey Naval Air Station.

The population of central Whidbey Island is concentrated near Coupeville. This area of Whidbey Island also supports significant agricultural land use. South Whidbey Island is primarily residential with many seasonal dwelling units (approximately two-thirds of Whidbey's seasonal population). Whidbey Island business centers are located in the Cities of Oak Harbor and Langley, the Town of Coupeville, and the communities of Freeland and Clinton.

Camano Island makes up approximately 12% of the County's total permanent population; its population doubles with seasonal population. The character of Camano is more pastoral than that of Whidbey, with most commercial activity occurring off-island (out-of-County) in the Town of Stanwood.

Island County / WRIA 6 Watershed Planning Process  
**WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES Topic Paper**  
Approved by the Island County Water Resource Advisory Committee, 11/06/03  
Approved by the Board of Island County Commissioners, 11/19/03

1 Water Demand Forecasts

2  
3 Planning for future water supply needs requires projecting demand for both short and long-  
4 term periods, and adapting to changes in those projections. To determine future needs, a  
5 reasonable and conservative assessment must be made of the number and type of  
6 “customers” expected to be served. Island County is the fastest growing rural county in  
7 Washington State. In similar areas in the Northwest United States, daily per capita demand  
8 of between 80-120 gallons is typical. Information collected during the preparation of the  
9 Island County CWSP (1990) indicated an average water demand of about 90 gallons per  
10 capita per day. Peak use was estimated as 250 gallons per capita per day.

11  
12 The Island County Comprehensive Plan (1998) estimated the County’s peak population  
13 holding capacity (including seasonal residents), based on the optimal land use pattern and  
14 permitted density, as 184,000. Peak resident population (including seasonal residents) by the  
15 year 2040 was forecasted by the Office of Financial Management of Washington (OFM) and  
16 the Island County Health Department (ICHD) as 170,100 and 201,340, respectively. These  
17 projected figures for the year 2040 bracket the County’s estimated holding capacity (*Island*  
18 *County Population Trends; Exhibit III-1, CWSP*).

19  
20 Conservation

21  
22 Conservation has the potential to increase the availability and reliability of present water  
23 sources. Recent state legislation requires that conservation be considered when reviewing  
24 water sources (Municipal Act 1338, RCW 90.03.386(3); Watershed Planning Act, RCW  
25 90.82.070(2)). The Island County CWSP (1990) recommends that conservation be  
26 considered an immediate priority because of the limitation of the water resource and the cost  
27 of alternative supplies. Methods to increase water conservation in Island County can be  
28 found in the Watershed Planning “Water Conservation” topic paper.

29  
30  
31 **Water Supply Options**

32  
33 The WRAC has identified the following six water supply options for Island County.  
34 Options have been evaluated and prioritized through balancing the advantages and  
35 disadvantages of each. Prioritization criteria include feasibility of implementation, overall  
36 effectiveness versus short and long-term costs, and public acceptance and need.

37  
38 All of the following water supply options have merits; some are identified as emergency  
39 backup measures due to high economic costs (Desalination, Rainwater Harvesting, and  
40 Reclaimed Water). Groundwater Wells and Imported Water are currently employed water  
41 supply options. Redistribution of Groundwater will be further addressed in the WRAC’s  
42 “Consolidation and Coordination of Water Systems” topic paper, and is the top  
43 recommendation for meeting future water supply.

Island County / WRIA 6 Watershed Planning Process  
**WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES Topic Paper**  
Approved by the Island County Water Resource Advisory Committee, 11/06/03  
Approved by the Board of Island County Commissioners, 11/19/03

1 Option #1: Groundwater Wells (No-Action Option)

2  
3 With the exception of Oak Harbor and NAS Whidbey, Island County currently relies on  
4 local groundwater wells for its water supply (72%) because there are no significant streams  
5 or other surface water sources. This finite water supply is drawn from many different  
6 aquifers all recharged solely by rainwater.

7  
8 The Island County CWSP (1990) estimates amounts of Whidbey Island and Camano Island's  
9 aquifers (*page V11-28*). These estimates will be refined using more current data in Phase II  
10 of the Watershed Planning process. Because the availability of groundwater is not uniformly  
11 distributed, County-wide estimates are of limited use in land-use planning. Instead, site  
12 specific analysis is required to evaluate specific aquifers and points of withdrawal associated  
13 with land use proposals. Phase II analysis will also evaluate water resources in Island County  
14 from the perspective of susceptibility to seawater intrusion.

15  
16 Option #2: Redistribution of Groundwater

17  
18 The future quantity and/or quality of groundwater resources are expected to be inadequate  
19 to meet future demands in some areas of Island County. Areas susceptible to seawater  
20 intrusion will be particularly impacted (e.g., near-shore and shoreline areas preferred for  
21 residential development).

22  
23 The Island County CWSP (1990) states that the most desirable and cost-effective water  
24 supply alternative is the efficient development of local groundwater if good quality supply  
25 can be found. The resource, however, may not be available where demands occur. At  
26 present very few water systems employ inter-ties for transfer of water. Long distance  
27 transmission may be the only alternative to deliver adequate groundwater to where it is  
28 needed. The CWSP outlines the option of "redistribution of groundwater" (*Section V*).  
29 Pipelines and connections between water systems could relocate water from high  
30 quality/quantity wells to areas where groundwater quality or quantity is below acceptable  
31 standards.

32  
33 Current Watershed Planning efforts may identify regions in which the potential for localized  
34 redistribution exists. Care must be taken not to underestimate the local needs of the area  
35 from which the water will be exported. Consideration of future land use potential will limit  
36 the quantity of water available for distribution.

37  
38 The Island County CWSP (1990) identified redistribution of groundwater as the top  
39 recommendation for meeting future water supply. The WRAC also recommends the  
40 redistribution of groundwater as a high priority, and will further address this option in the  
41 "Consolidation and Coordination of Water Systems" topic paper.

42  
43  
44  
45

Island County / WRIA 6 Watershed Planning Process  
**WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES Topic Paper**  
Approved by the Island County Water Resource Advisory Committee, 11/06/03  
Approved by the Board of Island County Commissioners, 11/19/03

1     Option #3: Imported Water

2  
3     The City of Oak Harbor is the largest water purveyor in Island County, with over 3,700  
4     connections and an average daily demand (ADD) of 2.26 million gallons per day (mgd).  
5     Ninety-five percent of the water used by Oak Harbor is purchased wholesale from the City  
6     of Anacortes. This imported water comprises 28% of the water used in Island County. Oak  
7     Harbor also supplies wholesale water to the Whidbey Naval Air Station (NAS-Whidbey),  
8     North Whidbey Water District, and Deception Pass State Park. The Navy installation  
9     consists of two separate facilities: Ault Field and the Seaplane Base.

10  
11    Anacortes obtains water from the Skagit River, the City of Anacortes holding this water  
12    right. Oak Harbor is a wholesale water customer of the City of Anacortes and as such has  
13    no direct water right to the Skagit River. The amount of water sold to Oak Harbor is limited  
14    by the terms of the Water Supply Agreement between the supplier (Anacortes) and the  
15    purchaser (Oak Harbor). The Anacortes/Oak Harbor Water Supply Agreement (April 1,  
16    1989) remains in effect for a term of 20 years. The terms of the agreement can be  
17    renegotiated and amended annually. The 2002 amendment provided up to 970 million  
18    gallons annually (equivalent to 2.66 mgd or 1,850 gpm).

19  
20    The Oak Harbor water system's future service area is defined as the current City of Oak  
21    Harbor Urban Growth Area (UGA) Boundary. The UGA encompasses several smaller  
22    water districts (e.g., Hillcrest, Fairway Estates, Swantown). It is not known whether these  
23    systems will continue to operate independently or if they will request to be merged with the  
24    Oak Harbor water system. Small water districts are required to upgrade their existing  
25    infrastructure to the standards of the larger district prior to consolidation. Washington State  
26    has several funding programs to assist in system upgrades for small systems. In addition, the  
27    City of Oak Harbor Municipal Code (OHMC) Chapter 13.24.030 requires "that all sales of  
28    water beyond the water service limits of the city will be made only to another municipal or  
29    governmental unit such as Island County, a water district organized according to state law,  
30    another city, etc." The same chapter of the OHMC also states that "there must be a finding  
31    by the city council that the city has an excess water supply available for the service  
32    requested."

33  
34    Some of these adjacent water systems have expressed interest in annexing to Oak Harbor  
35    and then receiving water supply from the City. In times of need, small adjacent water  
36    systems could supply well water back to the greater Oak Harbor water system, providing an  
37    additional water supply backup. The recently passed HB #1338 allows for a more efficient  
38    transfer of water rights to help in this process. The practicality of incorporating small  
39    system well supplies into the City of Oak Harbor will need to be addressed on a case by case  
40    basis. (This alternative describes a type of "redistribution" of groundwater, as discussed in  
41    Option #2.)

42  
43    The reliability of water supply to Oak Harbor and NAS-Whidbey is a potential issue. The  
44    City of Oak Harbor Draft 2003 Water System Plan recommends that alternative sources be  
45    identified and evaluated for improving supply to the system (*page ix*). In addition to

Island County / WRIA 6 Watershed Planning Process  
**WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES Topic Paper**  
Approved by the Island County Water Resource Advisory Committee, 11/06/03  
Approved by the Board of Island County Commissioners, 11/19/03

1 emergency interties with NAS-Whidbey, Oak Harbor has emergency back-up wells that  
2 produce 0.6 million gallons per day. The City of Oak Harbor has 3.1 million gallons of  
3 storage. NAS Whidbey has approximately 10.8 million gallons of storage.  
4

5 Although the CWSP recommends a Regional Water Supply Plan (*section VII*), this option  
6 entails many more pipeline connections to transport water from off-island. Pipeline  
7 construction is cost-prohibitive, however, as each mile of off-island pipeline costs a  
8 minimum of approximately \$1 million. Also, the availability of water from other sources is  
9 questionable and unlikely.  
10

11 A water supply option during emergencies is to haul water to storage cisterns, either by truck  
12 or boat to shoreline areas. Bottled water could be used to mitigate water quality (e.g. high  
13 arsenic levels) for small amounts of drinking water. These options are economically costly  
14 and should be utilized for water supply emergencies only. Private industry would most likely  
15 dictate the efficiency of this option.  
16

17 Option #4: Desalination Plants  
18

19 Desalination plants (reverse osmosis or distillation units), can offer a viable water supply  
20 alternative for islands surrounded by seawater. The City of Oak Harbor draft 2003 Water  
21 System Plan recommends that reverse osmosis units be evaluated as an alternative water  
22 supply (*page ix*).  
23

24 Before desalination plants become a viable water supply alternative for Island County,  
25 several economic and ecological issues would need to be addressed. Desalination is energy  
26 intensive, high cost, and produces a high salinity waste product that needs proper marine or  
27 landfill disposal. This option has been identified as a backup measure for unique  
28 circumstances only; perhaps future technology will enable this to be a viable option.  
29

30 Option #5: Rainwater Harvesting  
31

32 In addition to being a water supply source, rainwater harvesting is a Low Impact  
33 Development (L.I.D.) strategy. For a more in-depth assessment of rainwater harvesting as a  
34 water supply alternative, please see the upcoming "Rainwater Catchment" Topic Paper  
35 prepared by the WRAC.  
36

37 Rainwater harvesting has been shown to be an important non-potable water supply in areas  
38 with limited options. Rainwater harvesting involves the collection of rainwater from a  
39 catchment area, generally a rooftop. The rainwater is then stored in cisterns or tanks, treated  
40 as appropriate, and used for non-potable uses. The WRAC recommends that rainwater be  
41 used only for outdoor, non-potable uses, as rainwater requires at least the same level of  
42 treatment as other surface water sources. In addition, rainwater is not a reliable source of  
43 potable water in areas of low rainfall; precipitation in Island County is highly variable by  
44 area, ranging from 17 inches to 40 inches annually.

Island County / WRIA 6 Watershed Planning Process  
**WATER SUPPLY ALTERNATIVES Topic Paper**  
Approved by the Island County Water Resource Advisory Committee, 11/06/03  
Approved by the Board of Island County Commissioners, 11/19/03

1 The Department of Ecology (DOE) has stated that any amount of rainwater harvesting  
2 requires a water right permit, if catchment of water is used for any purpose other than  
3 surface water flow control. Rainwater captured on the surface is defined as surface water,  
4 therefore requiring a water right permit. DOE is currently discussing a blanket permit for  
5 allowing rainwater harvesting systems to be built in certain areas without obtaining individual  
6 water right permits.

7  
8 Option #6: Reclaimed Water  
9

10 Using reclaimed water in areas of limited water sources may help ensure future adequacy of  
11 domestic water supplies as well as replenish groundwater aquifers. The WRAC recommends  
12 that reclaimed water be used only for non-potable uses. Reclaimed water can presently be  
13 used for irrigation, groundwater recharge, and dual plumbing (commercial only). The  
14 present “Uniform Plumbing Code” prohibits reclaimed water to be used in private  
15 residences.

16  
17 Potential sources of reclaimed water are grey water, surface water runoff, and treated sewage.  
18 Greywater and treated sewage are treated to the same regulatory standards in Washington  
19 State. Surface water runoff could be captured from drainage areas associated with public  
20 roadways, and may need to be treated; it could be stored in retention ponds for groundwater  
21 recharge. Treated sewage water could also be used for groundwater recharge.

22  
23 Another source of “reclaimed” water is brackish groundwater (pumped from seawater-  
24 intruded aquifers). In situations where the potable water supply would not be impacted,  
25 brackish water could be used in lieu of fresh water for special purposes.